arXiv:1601.01882v1 [math.DG] 8 Jan 2016

ESTIMATES FOR HIGHER STEKLOV EIGENVALUES

LIANGWEI YANG AND CHENGJIE YU[1]

Abstract. In this paper, motivated by the work of Raulot and Savo, we generalize Raulot-Savo's estimate for the first Steklov eigenvalues of Euclidean domains to higher Steklov eigenvalues.

1. Introduction

Let u be a smooth function on the boundary ∂M of a compact orientable Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) with nonempty boundary and $\hat{u}$ be its harmonic extension on M. Then, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map or Steklov operator maps u to $\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \nu}$ where ν is the outward unit normal vector on ∂M. The spectrum of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map is discrete (See [18]). They are called Steklov eigenvalues of (M, g). The Steklov eigenvalues have been extensively studied. For example [2, 8, 9, 10, 11, 19, 20, 5, 6, 3, 4] obtained interesting estimates for them. [7] makes an excellent survey for recent progresses of Steklov eigenvalues.

Recently, Raulot and Savo [15] extended the notion of Steklov eigenvalues to differential forms. Let ω be a differential p-form on ∂M. Let $\hat{\omega}$ be the tangential harmonic extension of ω. That is to say, $\hat{\omega}$ satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\hat{\omega} = 0 \\ \iota^*\hat{\omega} = \omega \\ i_\nu\omega = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Here $\iota : \partial M \to M$ is the natural inclusion. Then, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for p-forms defined by Raulot-Savo [15] maps ω to $i_\nu d\hat{\omega}$. It is clear that, when $p = 0$, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map defined by Raulot and Savo coincides with the classical Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for functions. It was shown in [15] that the spectrum of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map is also discrete. We can arrange them in ascending

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35P15; Secondary 58J32.

Key words and phrases. Differential form,Steklov eigenvalue,Hodge-Laplacian.

[1]Research partially supported by a supporting project from the Department of Education of Guangdong Province with contract no. Yq2013073, the Yangfan project from Guangdong Province and NSFC 11571215.

order(counting multiplicity) as:

$$0 \leq \sigma_1^{(p)} \leq \sigma_2^{(p)} \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_k^{(p)} \leq \cdots .$$

They are called Steklov eigenvalues for p-forms.

In [14, 15, 16], Raulot and Savo obtained the following interesting upper bounds for Steklov eigenvalues.

Theorem 1.1 (Raulot-Savo [14, 15, 16]). (1) *Let (M^n, g) be a compact orientable manifold with nonempty boundary. Then,*

$$\sigma_1^{(n-1)}(M) \leq \frac{Vol(\partial M)}{Vol(M)};$$

(2) *Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then*
(a)

$$\sigma_2^{(0)}(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{n}\frac{Vol(\partial\Omega)}{Vol(\Omega)}.$$

The equality holds if and only if Ω is a ball;
(b) *When $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2}$,*

$$\sigma_1^{(p)}(\Omega) < \frac{p+1}{n}\frac{Vol(\partial\Omega)}{Vol(\Omega)};$$

(c) *When $p \geq \frac{n}{2}$,*

$$\sigma_1^{(p)}(\Omega) \leq \frac{p+1}{n}\frac{Vol(\partial\Omega)}{Vol(\Omega)}.$$

The equality holds if and only if Ω is ball.

Other interesting estimates of Steklov eigenvalues for differential forms are also obtained in [13]. The estimate (a) is also obtain in [12] by a different method.

In this paper,motivated by the work of Raulot and Savo, we obtain the follow general estimate for Steklov eigenvalues.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact orientable Riemannian manifold with nonempty boundary. Let V be the space of parallel exact 1-forms on M. Suppose that $m = \dim V > 0$. Then*

$$\sigma_{k+b_{p-1}}^{(p-1)}(M) \leq \frac{C_{m-1}^{p-1}}{C_m^p + 1 - k}\frac{Vol(\partial M)}{Vol(M)} \tag{1.2}$$

for $p = 1, 2, \cdots, m$ and $k = 1, 2, \cdots, C_m^p$. Here $C_m^p = \frac{m(m-1)\cdots(m-p+1)}{p!}$ and b_k denotes the k-th Betti number of M.

When M is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\dim V = n$, the theorem above give some generalized estimates with respect to Raulot-Savo's result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

$$\sigma^{(p)}_{k+b_p}(\Omega) \leq \frac{C^p_{n-1}}{C^{p+1}_n + 1 - k} \frac{Vol(\partial\Omega)}{Vol(\Omega)} \tag{1.3}$$

for $p = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$ and $k = 1, 2, \cdots, C^{p+1}_n$. Here b_p denotes the p-th Betti number of Ω. Moreover, when $k = 1$ and $p \geq \frac{n}{2}$, the equality of (1.3) holds if and only if Ω is a ball.

In [14], Raulot and Savo also obtained all the Steklov values of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The first several Steklov eigenvalues are as follows:

(1) $\sigma^{(0)}_1 = 0, \sigma^{(0)}_2 = \cdots = \sigma^{(0)}_{n+1} = 1, \cdots;$

(2) When $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2}$,

$$\sigma^{(p)}_1 = \cdots = \sigma^{(p)}_{C^p_n} = \frac{(n+2)p}{n}, \sigma^{(p)}_{C^p_n+1} = \cdots = \sigma^{(p)}_{C^p_n+C^{p+1}_n} = p+1, \cdots; \tag{1.4}$$

(3) When $\frac{n}{2} \leq p \leq n-1$,

$$\sigma^{(p)}_1 = \cdots = \sigma^{(p)}_{C^{p+1}_n} = p+1, \sigma^{(p)}_{C^{p+1}_n+1} = \cdots = \sigma^{(p)}_{C^p_n+C^{p+1}_n} = \frac{(n+2)p}{n}, \cdots; \tag{1.5}$$

From this, we know that the estimate for higher Steklov eigenvalues in (1.3) is not sharp for unit balls. However, when Ω is a strictly convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we have the following sharp estimate for higher Steklov eigenvalues.

Theorem 1.4. *Let Ω be a strictly convex bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary. Then,*

(1)

$$\sigma^{(0)}_k(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{n \min_{\partial\Omega} K} \cdot \frac{Vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{Vol(\Omega)} \tag{1.6}$$

for $k = 2, 3, \cdots, n+1$. The equality holds for some $k = 2, 3, \cdots, n+1$ if and only if Ω is a ball;

(2) *When $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2}$,*

$$\sigma^{(p)}_k(\Omega) < \frac{p+1}{n \min_{\partial\Omega} K} \frac{Vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{Vol(\Omega)} \tag{1.7}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, C^p_n$ and

$$\sigma^{(p)}_k(\Omega) \leq \frac{p+1}{n \min_{\partial\Omega} K} \frac{Vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{Vol(\Omega)} \tag{1.8}$$

for $k = C^p_n + 1, \cdots, C^{p+1}_n$. The equality holds for some $k = C^p_n + 1, \cdots, C^{p+1}_n$ if and only if Ω is a ball;

(3) *When* $\frac{n}{2} \le p \le n-1$,

$$\sigma_k^{(p)}(\Omega) \le \frac{p+1}{n \min_{\partial\Omega} K} \frac{Vol(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{Vol(\Omega)} \tag{1.9}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, C_n^{p+1}$. *The equality holds for some* $k = 1, 2, \cdots, C_n^{p+1}$ *if and only if* Ω *is a ball.*

Here K *is the Gaussian curvature of* $\partial\Omega$.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we prove the general estimate (1.2). In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.4.

2. A general estimate

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. The following lemma is the key step to the proof our estimate which is motivated by Raulot-Savo [14].

Lemma 2.1. *Let* (M^n, g) *be a compact orientable Riemannian manifold with nonempty boundary and* V *be a linear subspace of the space of exact parallel* p*-forms on* M *for* $p \ge 1$. *Suppose that* $\dim V = m > 0$. *Then*

$$\sigma_{k+b_{p-1}}^{(p-1)}(M) \le v_k \tag{2.1}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. *Here* $v_1 \le v_2 \le \cdots \le v_m$ *are the eigenvalues of the linear transformation* A *on* V *with*

$$\int_M \langle A\xi, \eta\rangle dV_M = \int_{\partial M} \langle i_\nu \xi, i_\nu \eta\rangle dV_{\partial M} \tag{2.2}$$

for any ξ *and* η *in* V.

Proof. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_m$ be the eigenforms of $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_m$ respectively. It is clear that we can assume that

$$\int_M \langle \xi_i, \xi_j\rangle dV_M = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

for $i \ne j$. Then

$$\int_{\partial M} \langle i_\nu \xi_i, i_\nu \xi_j\rangle dV_{\partial M} = \int_M \langle A\xi_i, \xi_j\rangle dV_M = v_i \int_M \langle \xi_i, \xi_j\rangle dV_M = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

when $i \ne j$. Let $\theta_i \in A^{p-1}(M)$ be such that

$$d\theta_i = \xi_i.$$

By the Hodge decomposition for compact Riemannian manifolds with nonempty boundary (See [17]), we have

$$\theta_i = d\alpha_i + \delta\beta_i + \gamma_i \tag{2.5}$$

where $\beta_i \in A^p(M)$ with $i_\nu \beta_i = 0$ and $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{H}_N^{p-1}(M)$. Here

$$\mathcal{H}_N^r(M) = \{\gamma \in A^r(M) \mid d\gamma = \delta\gamma = 0 \text{ and } i_\nu \gamma = 0\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Choose $\tilde{\gamma}_i \in \mathcal{H}_N^{p-1}(M)$, such that

$$\omega_i = \delta\beta_i + \tilde{\gamma}_i \perp_{L^2(\partial M)} \mathcal{H}_N^{p-1}(M). \tag{2.7}$$

Then $d\omega_i = \xi_i$, $i_\nu \omega_i = 0$ and $\delta\omega_i = 0$. Moreover, since ξ_i is parallel, $\delta\xi_i = 0$. So,

$$\Delta\omega_i = d\delta\omega_i + \delta d\omega_i = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Let

$$E_k = \text{span}\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_k\}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. Then $\dim E_k = k$ and $E_k \perp_{L^2(\partial M)} \mathcal{H}_N^{p-1}(M)$. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_k, \ldots$ be a complete orthonormal system of eigenforms for positive eigenvalues for the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on $A^{p-1}(\partial M)$. By dimension reasons, we know that

$$E_k \cap \overline{\text{span}\{\hat{\alpha}_k, \hat{\alpha}_{k+1}, \cdots\}} \neq 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Let $\omega \in E_k \cap \overline{\text{span}\{\hat{\alpha}_k, \hat{\alpha}_{k+1}, \cdots\}}$ with $\omega \neq 0$.

Suppose that $\omega = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} c_i \hat{\alpha}_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_{\partial M} \|i_\nu d\omega\|^2 dV_{\partial M}}{\int_M \|d\omega\|^2 dV_M} \geq & \frac{\int_{\partial M} \|i_\nu d\omega\|^2 dV_{\partial M}}{\int_M (\|d\omega\|^2 + \|\delta\omega\|^2) dV_M} \\ = & \frac{\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} {\sigma_{i+b_{p-1}}^{(p-1)}}^2 c_i^2}{\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \sigma_{i+b_{p-1}}^{(p-1)} c_i^2} \\ \geq & \sigma_{k+b_{p-1}}^{(p-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

On the other hand, suppose that $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \omega_i$. Then

$$\frac{\int_{\partial M} \|i_\nu d\omega\|^2 dV_{\partial M}}{\int_M \|d\omega\|^2 dV_M} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_i a_i^2 \int_M \|\xi_i\|^2 dV_M}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^2 \int_M \|\xi_i\|^2 dV_M} \leq v_k. \tag{2.11}$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain the conclusion. □

The following lemma will be needed to obtain estimates of v_k.

Lemma 2.2. *Let H be a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension m. Let $f(x) = a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n$ be a linear function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap H} f^2(x) dV_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap H}(x) \leq \frac{Vol(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2}{m}. \tag{2.12}$$

The equality holds if and only if $(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) \in H$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$H = \{(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \mid x_{m+1} = x_{m+2} = \cdots = x_n = 0\}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\cap H} f^2(x) dV_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\cap H}(x) =& \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} x_k^2 dx \\ =& \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k^2 \mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})}{m} \\ \leq& \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}) \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2}{m}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Equality holds only when $a_{m+1} = a_{m+2} = \cdots = a_n = 0$. This completes the proof. □

By Lemma 2.1, if we can estimate of the eigenvalues v_k, then we get estimate for Steklov eigenvalues. So, we come to estimate v_k.

Lemma 2.3. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact orientable Riemannian manifold with nonempty boundary. Let V be the space of parallel exact 1-forms on M. Suppose that* $\dim V = m > 0$. *Then,*

$$v_k^{(p)} \leq \frac{C_{m-1}^{p-1}}{C_m^p + 1 - k} \frac{Vol(\partial M)}{Vol(M)} \tag{2.14}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, C_m^p$ and $p = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Here $v_1^{(p)} \leq v_2^{(p)} \leq \cdots \leq v_{C_m^p}^{(p)}$ is the eigenvalues of the linear transformation $A^{(p)}$ on $\wedge^p V$ with

$$\int_M \left\langle A^{(p)}\xi, \eta \right\rangle dV_M = \int_{\partial M} \langle i_\nu \xi, i_\nu \eta \rangle dV_{\partial M} \tag{2.15}$$

for any $\xi, \eta \in \wedge^p V$.

Proof. Let $\xi_1^{(p)}, \cdots, \xi_{k-1}^{(p)}$ be the eigenforms for $v_1^{(p)}, \cdots, v_{k-1}^{(p)}$ respectively that are orthogonal to each other. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_m$ be an orthonormal basis for V. That is to say,

$$\langle \omega_i, \omega_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}. \tag{2.16}$$

Let $e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_m$ be the dual of $\omega_1, \omega_2, \cdots, \omega_m$ respectively. Let $H \subset \wedge^p V$ be the space of forms that are orthogonal to $\xi_1^{(p)}, \xi_2^{(p)}, \cdots, \xi_{k-1}^{(p)}$, and

$$S = \left\{ \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_p\leq m} a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p} \omega_{i_1} \wedge \omega_{i_2} \cdots \wedge \omega_{i_p} \,\middle|\, \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_p\leq m} a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p}^2 = 1 \right\}. \tag{2.17}$$

Then, for any $\xi \in S \cap H$, we have

$$v_k \mathrm{Vol}(M) = v_k \int_M \|\xi\|^2 dV_M \leq \int_{\partial M} \|i_\nu \xi\|^2 dV_{\partial M}. \tag{2.18}$$

Integrating the last inequality against ξ over $S \cap H$, we have

$$v_k \mathrm{Vol}(M)\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{C_m^p - k}) \leq \int_{\partial M} \int_{S\cap H} \|i_\nu \xi\|^2 d\xi dV_{\partial M} = \int_{\partial M} \int_{S\cap H} \|i_{\nu^\top} \xi\|^2 d\xi dV_{\partial M} \tag{2.19}$$

where $\nu^\top$ is the orthogonal projection of ν onto $\mathrm{span}\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_m\}$.

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\nu^\top = \nu_1 e_1$ with $|\nu_1| \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S\cap H} \|i_{\nu^\top} \xi\|^2 d\xi =& \nu_1^2 \int_{S\cap H} \|i_{e_1} \xi\|^2 d\xi \\ =& \nu_1^2 \sum_{2\leq i_2 < i_3 < \cdots < i_p \leq m} \int_{S\cap H} a_{1 i_2 \cdots i_p}^2 da \\ \leq& \frac{C_{m-1}^{p-1} \mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{C_m^p - k})}{C_m^p - k + 1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

by Lemma 2.2. Substituting (2.20) into (2.19), we obtain the conclusion. □

Now, combining Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain Theorem 1.2. The inequality (1.3) in Theorem 1.3 is a direct corollary of Theorem 1.2. The proof of the equality case in Theorem 1.3 is just the same as the proof the equality case in Theorem 5 of [14].

3. Eigenvalue estimates on convex domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$

In this section, we come to prove Theorem 1.4. The argument is just a simple use of coarea formula to estimate the integral in (2.19).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Since Ω is strictly convex, $b_0(\Omega) = 1$ and $b_p(\Omega) = 0$ for $p = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. By Lemma 2.1, we only need to estimate the $v_k^{(p)}$ in Lemma 2.3 for this case.

Let $\xi_1^{(p)}, \cdots, \xi_{k-1}^{(p)}$ be the eigenforms for $v_1^{(p)}, \cdots, v_{k-1}^{(p)}$ respectively that are orthogonal to each other. Let

$$S = \left\{ \sum_{1\leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq n} a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p} dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p} \middle| \sum_{1\leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \leq n} a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p}^2 = 1 \right\}, \tag{3.1}$$

and $H \subset \wedge^p \mathbb{R}^n$ be the space of p-forms that are orthogonal to $\xi_1^{(p)}, \xi_2^{(p)}, \cdots, \xi_{k-1}^{(p)}$. Then, for any $\xi \in S \cap H$, we have

$$v_k^{(p)} \mathrm{Vol}(\Omega) = v_k^{(p)} \int_M \|\xi\|^2 dV_M \leq \int_{\partial M} \|i_\nu \xi\|^2 dV_{\partial M}. \tag{3.2}$$

Integrating the last inequality against ξ over $S \cap H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_k^{(p)} \mathrm{Vol}(\Omega) \mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{C_m^p - k}) \\
\leq &\int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{S\cap H} \|i_\nu \xi\|^2 d\xi dV_{\partial\Omega} \\
= &\int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{S\cap H} \|i_\nu \xi\|^2 d\xi dV_{\partial\Omega} \\
= &\int_{S\cap H} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\|i_X \xi\|^2}{K} dV_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(X) d\xi \\
\leq &\frac{1}{\min_{\partial\Omega} K} \int_{S\cap H} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|i_X \xi\|^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(X) d\xi \\
= &\frac{1}{\min_{\partial\Omega} K} \int_{S\cap H} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{1\leq i_2 < i_3 < \cdots < i_p \leq n} \left(\sum_{i_1=1}^n X_{i_1} a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p} \right)^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(X) da \\
= &\frac{1}{\min_{\partial\Omega} K} \int_{S\cap H} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{1\leq i_2 < i_3 < \cdots < i_p \leq n} \sum_{i_1=1}^n X_{i_1}^2 a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p}^2 dV_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(X) da \\
= &\frac{V(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{n \min_{\partial\Omega} K} \int_{S\cap H} \sum_{1\leq i_2 < i_3 < \cdots < i_p \leq n} \sum_{i_1=1}^n a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p}^2 da \\
= &\frac{pV(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{n \min_{\partial\Omega} K} \int_{S\cap H} \sum_{1\leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \cdots < i_p \leq n} a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p}^2 da \\
= &\frac{p\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{C_m^p - k})}{n \min_{\partial\Omega} K}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Here we have used the co-area formula and that the Jacobian of the Gauss map is the Gaussian curvature. Note that we have also skew symmetrically extended $a_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_p}$ in their indices.

When equality of (3.3) is true, we know that $K \equiv \min_{\partial\Omega} K$ is a constant. This implies that Ω is a ball. Combining this and Raulot-Savo's result (1.4) and (1.5) give us the conclusion.

□

References

[1] Belishev, M.; Sharafutdinov, V. *Dirichlet to Neumann operator on differential forms.* Bull. Sci. Math. 132 (2008), no. 2, 128–145.

[2] Brock, F. *An isoperimetric inequality for eigenvalues of the Stekloff problem.* Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 81 (2001), no. 1, 69–71.

[3] Colbois, B.; El Soufi, A.; Girouard, A., *Isoperimetric control of the Steklov spectrum.* J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), no. 5, 1384–1399.

[4] Escobar, J., *A comparison theorem for the first non-zero Steklov eigenvalue.* J. Funct. Anal. 178 (2000), no. 1, 143–155.

[5] Fraser, A.; Schoen, R., *The first Steklov eigenvalue, conformal geometry, and minimal surfaces,* Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 5, 4011–4030.

[6] Fraser, A.; Schoen, R., *Sharp eigenvalue bounds and minimal surfaces in the ball*, preprint, arXiv:1209.3789

[7] Girouard, A.; Polterovich, I. *Spectral geometry of the Steklov problem.* arXiv:1411.6567.

[8] Girouard, A.; Polterovich, I. *Upper bounds for Steklov eigenvalues on surfaces.* Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. 19 (2012), 77–85.

[9] Girouard, Alexandre; Polterovich, Iosif *Shape optimization for low Neumann and Steklov eigenvalues.* Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 33 (2010), no. 4, 501–516.

[10] Girouard, A.; Polterovich, I. *On the Hersch-Payne-Schiffer estimates for the eigenvalues of the Steklov problem.* (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 44 (2010), no. 2, 33–47; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 44 (2010), no. 2, 10–117.

[11] Hersch, J.; Payne, L. E.; Schiffer, M. M. *Some inequalities for Stekloff eigenvalues.* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 57 (1975), 99–114.

[12] Ilias, S.; Makhoul, O.*A Reilly inequality for the first Steklov eigenvalue.* Differential Geom. Appl. 29 (2011), no. 5, 699–708.

[13] Kwong, K.-K. *Some sharp eigenvalue estimate for differential forms.* Private communication.

[14] Raulot, S.; Savo, A. *On the spectrum of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator acting on forms of a Euclidean domain.* J. Geom. Phys. 77 (2014), 1–12.

[15] Raulot, S.; Savo, A. *On the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on forms.* J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012), no. 3, 889–914.

[16] Raulot, S.; Savo, A. *A Reilly formula and eigenvalue estimates for differential forms.* J. Geom. Anal. 21 (2011), no. 3, 620C640.

[17] Schwarz, G. *Hodge decompositiona method for solving boundary value problems.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1607. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. viii+155 pp. ISBN: 3-540-60016-7

[18] Taylor, Michael E. *Partial differential equations II. Qualitative studies of linear equations.* Second edition. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 116. Springer, New York, 2011. xxii+614 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4419-7051-0.

[19] Wang, Qiaoling; Xia, Changyu *Sharp bounds for the first non-zero Stekloff eigenvalues.* J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), no. 8, 2635–2644.

[20] Weinstock, R., *Inequalities for a classical eigenvalue problem.* J. Rational Mech. Anal. 3, (1954).

Department of Mathematics, Shantou University, Shantou, Guangdong, 515063, China
E-mail address: 13lwyang@stu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Shantou University, Shantou, Guangdong, 515063, China
E-mail address: cjyu@stu.edu.cn